

THE CONFUSION OF ISLAM

Chapter 1

FOREWORD

This is a how-to book about persuading people about the true nature of Islam. The method that is taught here is based upon understanding Islam's doctrine as found in three texts, the Trilogy: Koran, Sira (the biography of Mohammed) and Hadith (his traditions). The Trilogy contains the complete foundation of Islamic doctrine.

This book is intended for those who have read the Trilogy. What you have learned from reading the Trilogy can be the most effective tool of persuasion you can have, but you need to see how the tool is actually applied and used.

Since you might have this book and never have read the Trilogy, here are a few short definitions of terms and concepts that you must know to follow the reasoning.

Kafir—a Kafir is a non-Muslim.

Sunna—Mohammed is the perfect Muslim. His practice (works and words, Sunna) are the perfect pattern of the sacred life.

Sharia—Sharia is Islamic law based on the Koran and the Sunna of Mohammed.

Dhimmi—dhimmi is used in two senses. The original dhimmis were defeated Jews who could worship, but had to live under Sharia law and pay high taxes. The second sense of the term is an apologist for Islam.

Dualism—Islam frequently has two contradicting manifestations. For instance, Islam is the religion of peace, but Islam is also the doctrine of jihad murder. Islam is both religiously tolerant and has a death sentence for leaving Islam. Under dualism both sides of the contradiction are equally true. Real Islam is both.

INTRODUCTION

Little of the talk in the media, religious circles and politics has anything to do with Islam and very much to do with political correctness, multiculturalism, and propaganda. Once you know the doctrine of Political Islam, you will see that the experts are long on opinion and short on actual facts. Articles and TV programs presented in the media give only a glancing look at the actual Islamic doctrine.

This book presents an fact-based approach to Islam that uses critical thought in order that you can reason and persuade others.

On September 11, 2001 it was not only the military and police who did not know what Islam was going to do. We found that our cultural institutions, which should have been able to explain why the attack happened, were also clueless. The attack on the World Trade Center caught everybody off guard.

The first words out of leadership's mouths declared that Islam was not to blame. No, Islam was the peaceful religion. Soon more apologies came from the media, politicians, professors, religious leaders and the pundits. These apologies were based on current social theory of political correctness and multiculturalism. However, in the background there was a muttering that Islam was the cause, not the victim. But anyone who would suggest any bad news about Islam had little support from the "authorities".

There have been many books written by those who try to bring the truth of Islam to the public's attention. All of them fall into the category of understanding Islam, knowing what Islam is and what it has done in the past and is doing today.

This book is about knowing and doing. You will know more and be able to argue, reason and debate about Political Islam. You can persuade in a calm assured manner. And you can do so up against the media expert, the college professor, the Muslim at work or your own religious leader. The reason you can always persuade is not due to some cleverness or deceit or intimidation. You can win because you have a secret weapon—knowledge. If you know the most about a subject, then you can always bring more pressure to bear in any discussion.

The first step in being able to persuade someone is to have a solid foundation about Islamic doctrine. The biggest "fog of war" in dealing with Islam is the confusion about what it is. Try asking someone: "What is Islam?" and you will get a myriad of answers. But, there is an exact, fact-based answer to the question.

Once you scientifically define Islam, its political nature can be recognized and separated. It is the political purpose of Islam to annihilate all other civilizations. Those who should guard us from destruction are not understanding this threat of annihilation, so we as individuals must do the job.

HOW NOT TO STUDY ISLAM

The media has developed a unique form of writing. Page after page is filled with words, but what is the basis for these statements? The answer is that they are opinions, personal opinions. The media's comments on Islam are based on hearsay with little or no factual data.

Possibly the worst source about Islam comes from famous people. They spout some personal opinion like "I know a Muslim. He is a nice person. Islam is peaceful." Presidents, bishops, senators, governors, generals and that eternal source of true knowledge—a star from Hollywood or a rock band—all hand out the same ignorant arguments. Here is an example by the Dalai Lama:

"Nowadays to some people the Muslim tradition appears more militant," the 70-year-old exiled monk said at a weekend conference, which aimed to bring Muslims and Buddhists together.

"I feel that's totally wrong. Muslims, like any other traditions—same message, same practice. That is a practice of compassion," he said.¹

His comments are pure opinion and he does not offer any factual basis for his words. He asks us to believe him because he is the Dalai Lama.

There is a special category of comments called "not the real Islam". Whenever an aspect of Islam is unpleasant, the immediate apologist comment is: "that is not the real Islam." But the more common treatment of any unpleasant event is to simply ignore it. At the time of this writing, there have been hundreds of attacks by jihadists around the world, but only a very few are reported, and there is there any connecting of the dots or finding a pattern of behavior. So here we have another characteristic of reporting on Islam—it is never systematic.

The great bulk of the media and Muslims mouthed a few platitudes: Islam is a religion based upon the Koran; a few "extremist Muslims" have hijacked the religion; "moderate Muslims" will solve the problems of Islam; Islam just needs to be reformed, the "bad stuff" is only a matter of interpretation. But notice something about these apologies: there are no

1. http://www.cbsnews.com/stories/2006/04/16/national/main1501186.shtml?source=RSS&attr=U.S._1501186, San Francisco, April 16, 2006, William Vitka

facts to support the assertions. (Quoting a Koran verse is the very weakest form of presenting facts. We will see later why dualism makes this almost meaningless.) Pseudo-facts that quote the opinion of some scholar with an Arabic name serve as the basis of most articles.

Then there are the articles by Muslim scholars who praise Islam as the only driving force in the world. Indeed, they never reveal the slightest doubt about the doctrine of Islam as an absolute truth. Inside of Islam, there is so little critical thinking that it is a good approximation to say that critical thought does not exist. Self-doubt does not exist among Muslim thinkers either. This turns out to be a clue as to the true nature of Islamic doctrine.

Islam's public image is "Islam is the religion of peace." There are two things wrong with that statement. The worst error in thinking about Islam is that it is a religion. As you will see later, religion is the smallest part of Islam. Islam as a religion is of no consequence to anyone except a Muslim. Islam is an entirely separate civilization. The most important part of Islamic doctrine turns out to be political. Mohammed had no success with Islam until he made it a political system.

Knowledge knows what is, but wisdom can see what is not. There is an enormous gap in our knowledge about Islam. Look at these simple facts. North Africa and Egypt used to be Christian. North Africa was European. Syria, Lebanon, Iraq, and the rest of the Middle East were Christian. Turkey used to be Greek Anatolia. Afghanistan and all of the rest of the Silk Route countries were Buddhist. Pakistan and Bangladesh used to be Buddhist and Hindu. Today all of those nations are Islamic. Did Islam "just happen" or was there a process that took centuries? How did Greek Christian Anatolia become Islamic Turkey? The history of Islamification is a non-history. It does not exist according to our "experts".

Why are all of the Muslim thinkers so sure about Islam while non-Muslims are so confused? Why does it seem so simple to them and so muddled to us? Why is it that an illiterate Egyptian peasant can understand Islam, but the Western university professor cannot?

A FOUNDATIONAL APPROACH

We need a fact-based approach to Islam, not opinions. We need a rational method that gives the same results, no matter who uses it. Notice that the opinion-based method gives different answers depending upon who is asking the questions.

We need a method that does not depend upon censorship. The cultural/political climate of today does not allow arguments or debate. Any

views that differ from the multicultural “we are all one big happy family where everyone is the same as everybody else and all cultures are equally valid” are called bigoted, racist and hateful.

We need a method of study that encourages argument. That means that we need critical thinking. Today critical thinking is condemned since it involves looking at all sides of a question. Currently, in our culture, any position that violates the multicultural model is declared evil. Instead we are supposed to view the government/university/media position as the Truth-From-Above.

Islam is based on Allah and the Sunna of Mohammed. Allah is found in the Koran. The Sunna is the perfect example of Mohammed’s every word and deed. The Sunna is found in the Sira (his biography) and the Hadith (his traditions). The Koran, Sira and Hadith are called the Trilogy and contain the entire religious and political doctrine of Islam. The Trilogy is published by CSPI and it is assumed that you have read these books, since all the arguments presented here are based on the doctrine found in the Trilogy.

OFFICIAL ISLAM

Chapter 2

INTRODUCTION

This book is devoted to exploring the true nature of Islam as revealed in its sacred texts and its history. There is another Islam that was given voice by George Bush, Obama and the media. Bush laid down a basic version of Official Islam in the National Cathedral soon after 9/11. Obama added many details in his Cairo speech, June 4, 2009.

But Official Islam was not invented by the media, Bush or Obama, but by the Muslim Brotherhood¹. This doctrine became the standard in all of the universities when the Brotherhood, powered by Saudi money, invaded and took over the Middle East departments. That is the reason that the media went along with the official version. This is what they were all taught. The preachers, rabbis and all the politicians believe in this Official Islam, at least in public.

OFFICIAL ISLAM

Here are the major points of Official Islam:

- Islam is a religion similar to Christianity and Judaism. They all worship the same god and are of the Abrahamic faith.
- Good Muslims prove that Islam is good
- There are no jihadists, just extremists fueled by poverty
- “Extremists” cause the violence
- The “bad stuff” in the Koran is due to how it is interpreted
- Islam must be accommodated in as many ways as possible
- One of the proofs of Islam’s greatness is the Islamic Golden Age, thought by some to be humanity’s best days
- Violence is perpetrated by Muslims because they are poor and abused

1 The Muslim Brotherhood is a underground organization devoted to the rule of Sharia over the world. They have been active in the US since 1960.

OFFICIAL ISLAM

- The West received the foundation of its intellectual world from Islam
- The Crusades were a great evil committed by Christians
- There are mostly moderate Muslims and only a few extremist Muslims
- Islam is only found in the Koran
- Good Muslims will reform the “extremists”
- Islam is the religion of tolerance
- Islam has a Golden Rule
- Islam is a wonderful part of American culture
- Islam is the religion of freedom and justice

But the official version of Islam is a Big Lie². The fact that the Official Islam does not agree with the Koran, Sira and Hadith is of no importance, since it is not based upon them. Official Islam is based upon the propaganda of our establishment media, government and schools. Not one line of the Official Islam is totally true and many of the points are complete fabrications.

At best, some assertions are partially true. A half-truth is a lie. When you testify in our courts you have to swear an oath:

“I swear to tell the whole truth and nothing but the truth.”

Official Islam is not provable and is delivered by “authorities”. This book is based upon critical/scientific thought. The Official Islam is based upon authoritarian thought—that is, you must believe it because those who have more power than you have say that it is true. Official Islam is a mind-set of denial and delusion and is the intellectual basis of the destruction of our civilization.

Official Islam is refuted in Chapter 6.

² Adolf Hitler, *Mein Kampf*, Houghton Mifflin Co., Boston, MA, 1971, pgs. 231, 232.

CAN'T WE ALL JUST GET ALONG?

Chapter 4

INTRODUCTION

Kafirs have a basic instinct when faced with Islam—let's make some compromises. We will do things your way, Islam can reform and life will be good. This will not work and has never worked, but Kafirs refuse to be logical and study Islam to see why compromise won't work.

We must go through all of the steps of compromise to see why they will fail. In particular, we must see why reform is a logical impossibility. And last, but not least, we must see why the “good” Muslim cannot and will not help to achieve a solution.

The elites tell us that Islam has always been part of one big happy human family. Islam is here and it is a wonderful thing. Islam is a foundational part of our civilization. Muslims make wonderful neighbors.

The elites tell us that if we don't get along with Political Islam, if we find a problem, then the problem is with us. The fact of the matter is that Mohammed attacked every single neighbor he had. His only success came through violence. His dying words were to hurt Jews, Christians and all Kafirs. Mohammed was Islam and he was never compatible with any Kafir. The Big Lie is just that. There is no way to live with Islam. Life with Islam is a succession of demands. Mohammed never stopped until 100% of his demands were met. That was life with Mohammed—the Sunna.

Islam is a civilization that is designed to extinguish all Kafir civilizations down to their last cultural vestige. Annihilation is Sunna. Mohammed did not stop until the Kafirs surrendered to his demands to change the smallest details of their lives into his way of doing. The last 1400 years of history is proof of the brutal efficiency of Islamic politics. There has never been a culture where Islam and Kafirs existed in long-term peace. After a long enough time period, Islam takes over the civilization. This is the goal of Islam.

LET'S MAKE A DEAL

But since Kafirs don't know anything about the history of Islam, they think that we will work this out like we always have. We will find a compromise. After all, in Kafir civilization, progress is made through teamwork and compromise. The first and crucial error is thinking that Islam is analogous to our civilization and that our rules apply to it. Let's compare Islam with our civilization's ideals.

Freedom Of Expression

First, the ideal citizen of Islam has no freedom, but is a slave of Allah and the Sunna. Freedom of expression means you can disagree with Islam.

Mohammed laid the perfect example of freedom of expression when he finally gained power in Mecca. In the beginning when he had no power in Mecca, he allowed argument about his doctrine. After he was driven out of Mecca and later returned as its conqueror, he issued death warrants against all of those who had disagreed with him. When Mohammed died, there was not a single person left in Arabia who disagreed with him. Intellectual subservience to Mohammed/Islam was total. The Sharia denies freedom of expression. Islam tolerates discussion of Islam only when it is getting started and is politically weak.

Freedom Of Religion

If you are a Muslim and want to leave Islam, you become an apostate. An Islamic apostate can be killed. An apostate is even a worse creature than a Kafir. The Koran says that apostasy is a crime worse than mass murder.

But doesn't Islam preach that Christians, Jews and Muslims are all members of the Abrahamic faith? Is that not freedom of religion? In Islam the only real Christians and Jews are dhimmis since they must declare their own scriptures to be corrupt and that Mohammed is the last prophet of both Jews and Christians. Those who don't are not true Christians and Jews, but Kafirs.

And what about the atheists, Buddhists, Hindus, Jains, and on and on? They are all hated Kafirs just like Christians and Jews.

If there is freedom of religion, explain how every Muslim country becomes 100% Islamic eventually? Explain this in terms of freedom or tolerance.

Slavery

The Koran sanctions and encourages slavery. Mohammed was the perfect slave owner, slave wholesaler, slave retailer, slave torturer and sex slave user. Even though Islam sold Americans every slave, Islam has never acknowledged this fact nor apologized.

Criticism

In our culture, we have the ability to criticize our own actions and the actions of our political and religious leaders and correct mistakes. Criticism of Islamic religion or politics by Muslims is rare and can be life-threatening.

Freedom of the Press

Due to the publication of the Danish Mohammed cartoons, buildings were burned, people were killed, and almost no newspaper would reprint these political cartoons. You can say anything you want about Islam as long as Islam is not offended. Freedom of the press is forbidden in Sharia law. Sharia blasphemy laws prohibit criticism, or even asking questions, about Islamic doctrine.

Diversity

Islam is the supreme mono-culture, dedicated to abolishing all other cultures. There is no multiculturalism in Islam. After Islam takes over the host culture devolves into some form of Islam. Where is the Buddhist culture of Afghanistan? the Coptic culture of Egypt? the Berber culture of North Africa? the Christian culture of Iraq? the Zoroastrian culture of Iran? They have all been annihilated.

Equal Justice Under the Law

The Koran specifically says that justice is served with different penalties for Muslims and Kafirs. A Muslim is not to be killed in retaliation for killing a Kafir. A Kafir may not testify against a Muslim in Islamic law. The entire Sharia law is based upon one set of laws for Muslims and another set of laws for Kafirs.

Ethics

Our ethics are based upon the Golden Rule, with all peoples considered as equal "others". Islam is based upon dualistic ethics, with one set of rules for Muslims and another set of rules for Kafirs. Kafirs are hated by Allah and are targeted for annihilation by Mohammed. Kafirs must be

subjugated. Islamic ethics are dualistic—Muslims are treated well and Kafirs are treated as second-class citizens or worse, if it is deemed necessary to Islam.

Women

In Islam, women are subjugated to the males. In court they are treated as half of a man and they are equal only on Judgment Day. Both the Sunna and the Koran say that wives can be and should be beaten. The Sharia even lays out the precise procedure for wife-beating.

Torture

Torture is allowed in the Sunna and the Koran recommends cutting off of hands and feet and crucifying Kafirs. Mohammed repeatedly tortured Kafirs, even to death. Torture of Kafirs is Sunna.

Separation of Church and State

Our Constitution separates the church and state, but Islam demands that religion and state be combined as one unit. Sharia law includes both religious law and secular without distinction. Islam is a theocracy.

Friendship

Surely friendship is one of the most basic aspects of being human. But Mohammed was never the friend of a Kafir. His uncle, Abu Talib, adopted him, raised him, taught his business trade and protected him from harm by the Meccans. When he died a Kafir, Mohammed's first words were to condemn him to Hell. There are 12 verses in the Koran that say that a Muslim is not the friend of a Kafir.

Human Rights

There are no human rights in Islam, because there is no humanity in the Koran, just believers and Kafirs. Kafirs have no rights. Kafirs are hated by Allah and are lower than animals.

Since Islam does not have a point of agreement with our civilization, there is no way to find any compromise. Islam is not part of our civilization and does not play by our rules. When we try to use our rules, we always lose. How do you compromise with a civilization based on the principles of submission and duality?